

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,025	09/23/2003	Peter Traneus Anderson	133167NV (MHM 15083US01)	1825
23446 7	590 10/31/2006		EXAM	INER
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET			KISH, JAMES M	
SUITE 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	. 60661		3737	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/669,025	ANDERSON, PETER TRANEUS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James Kish	3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. It reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1					
•	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	-	tters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applie	cation.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 May 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/23/03, 10/23/03, 11/6</u> /03, 4/8/04 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hansen (US Patent No. 4,642,786). Hansen discloses a method and apparatus for position and orientation measurements using a magnetic field. Figure 4 shows a single coil 36 attached to a coil trio (3, 5 and 7). Another coil trio (21`, 25` and 29`) are displaced from the first set. See column 23, line 16 through column 24, line 6 for a description of Figure 4. Figure 5 shows the transmitter/receiver and the re-transmitter as being origins for axes. Figure 7 shows a transmitter and a re-transmitter, thereby having more than one transmitter devices.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3737

Claims 1,10-11 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (US Patent No. 6,774,624). Anderson et al. provides an electromagnetic tracking system that includes a field generator and a field sensor. Figure 2 shows two coil trios, 12 and 10y, as well as a single coil 10a.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-14 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Anderson et al. in view of Hansen. Anderson et al. provides an electromagnetic tracking system that includes a field generator and a field sensor. Figure 2 shows two coil trios, 12 and 10y, as well as a single coil 10a. However, there is no discussion of multiple receivers and/or transmitters. Column 23, lines 57-68 of Hansen teach the use

Art Unit: 3737

of as many receivers and transmitters as is necessary. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use as many receivers and transmitters as was deemed necessary for a particular use of the tracking system to obtain accurate readings.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Anderson et al. in view of Ferre et al. (US Patent No. 5,967,980). Anderson et al. provides an electromagnetic tracking system that includes a field generator and a field sensor.

Art Unit: 3737

Figure 2 shows two coil trios, 12 and 10y, as well as a single coil 10a. However, Anderson et al does not specifically place the tracking devices on a medical instrument and the patient, simultaneously. Ferre et al. demonstrates in Figure 1 that the positioning system places the sensors on the medical device 16 and also on the patient at 36. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use locators on a medical instrument and on a patient for patient safety.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filling date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filling date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Application/Control Number: 10/669,025 Page 6

Art Unit: 3737

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Kish whose telephone number is 571-272-5554. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00 ~ Mon. - Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMK

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700